

greatgameindia.com

Here Is Davos Plan For The Next Pandemic - GreatGameIndia


GGI Staff

5-6 minutes

Klaus Schwab said that the pandemic is a rare but narrow window of opportunity to undertake the Great Reset, which reveals the plan that Davos has for the next pandemic.

 Join our Telegram channel





The World Economic Forum's Davos debates frequently require some "reading between the lines" to comprehend what the globalist participants are truly saying, but this is less of a requirement now. Reps from the establishment have become much more transparent about their goal and their future intentions since the pandemic catastrophe, coupled with the lockdowns and attempts to implement vaccine passports.

After all, it was [Klaus Schwab](#) who described the current economic and political order as being in need of a "rare but narrow window of opportunity" to undertake the "Great Reset." Recent years have demonstrated that the Davos crowd still holds onto the waning pandemic hysteria as the "good old

days” when they could get whatever they wanted, including complete centralization.



The globalists still refuse to acknowledge their numerous failures, but panels like the one below make their point crystal clear: They understand that the truth has entered the mainstream and that an excessive number of people are now debating the efficacy of the restrictions, mandates, masks, and mRNA vaccines. All of these precautions have mostly failed to stop the spread of viruses, and now the vaccinations' negative side effects are being

acknowledged—at least to a point. Overall, the epidemic did not present Klaus Schwab and the WEF with the opportunity they had anticipated.

They now look forward to a pandemic in the future, potentially one with a far greater mortality rate that will incite more profitable public concern.

Watch the video below:

Special attention should be paid to remarks made by panelists Tony Blair, a former British prime minister, and Albert Bourla, the current president of Pfizer, regarding the lack of consensus on political responses.

Although they don't elaborate, they might be referring to some countries' failure to pursue continued requirements and vaccine passports. Officials were concerned about how far they might push their luck as a result of public opposition to such acts. Many of

these leaders backed off, indicating that they realised they were taking a risk. The covid doom-mongering abruptly reduced to a mere flicker of its former intensity as if someone had flipped a switch.

The committee concluded that in the future, there will be global institutions to put constant pressure on governments and, ostensibly, constant pressure on national populations in order to get the results they desire.

Africa is consequently brought up a few times during the panel as an example of the requirement for “equity” in the pandemic response. Although 65% of the region remains unvaccinated, [according to the New York Times](#) world vaccination tracker, they don't discuss Africa's lack of covid fatalities. For the past few years, globalists have found the African example to be problematic as a

control group for the unvaccinated, and they definitely want to change that.

Tony Blair makes yet another highly intriguing statement in which he asks for a national digital infrastructure for monitoring immunizations. According to Blair, in order to maintain support for the agenda, various national governments would need to be persuaded that pandemic issues are “continuing issues.”

Albert Bourla discusses the potential and difficulties of developing vaccines even more quickly in order to respond to emerging viral outbreaks. His judgement? That even when there isn't a pandemic at hand, authorities still need to leave the door open for Big Pharma. The covid vaccinations were produced and given in less than a year under emergency authorisation, when the average vaccine requires at least 10 years of

investigation for safety and long-term negative effects. Big Pharma and the WEF want all vaccinations and medications to meet this new standard of reduced safety barriers in the future.

Then, in an offhand way, Bourla acknowledges what many people had already suspected: that public mistrust posed the main obstacle to the implementation of mandates and widespread immunisation. While Bourla and others refer to this as “politicising” the mandates, in reality it was just a backlash against authoritarianism, which thwarted globalist strategy at every turn. This can only be advantageous.

The panellists never mention the countless studies that demonstrate the hazards associated with masking, lockdowns, vaccinations, or the ineffectiveness of these

measures. They only care about how to increase cooperation during the subsequent viral event; they are not concerned with the causes of public resistance.

GreatGameIndia is being actively targeted by powerful forces who do not wish us to survive. Your contribution, however small help us keep afloat. We accept voluntary payment for the content available for free on this website via UPI, PayPal and Bitcoin.

[Support GreatGameIndia](#)



PHOENIX
WIDGET