## theepochtimes.com

## NIH Cuts Off Funding to Wuhan Lab at Center of COVID Leak Controversy

Eva Fu

5-6 minutes

The National Institute of Health (NIH) has quietly removed the Wuhan lab suspected as a source of COVID-19 from its list of foreign facilities eligible to conduct animal experiments on taxpayer dollars.

The name Wuhan Institute of Virology (WIV), which was still on the list as recently as April 23, was absent from the page last updated on May 17. The current list consists of 27 other laboratories from China.

The WIV has for years been a partner of the New York-based EcoHealth Alliance, conducting bat coronavirus research with U.S. funding, which totaled more than \$3 million between 2014 and 2019.

Sen. Joni Ernst (R-Iowa), a vocal critic of the NIH funding to Wuhan, cheered the news as a "victory for taxpayers."

"After years of advocacy, the Wuhan Lab finally appears to no longer be eligible for U.S. funding," she told The Epoch Times. "The truth is, China's state-run Wuhan Institute of Virology should never have received U.S. support for its dangerous experiments on bat coronaviruses."





Sen. Joni Ernst (R-Iowa) speaks at a Senate Republican news conference in the U.S. Capitol Building on March 9, 2022. (Anna Moneymaker/Getty Images)

Ernst said that she feels troubled that the Wuhan facility was able to get funding in the first place.

"This incident has exposed a huge loophole that is allowing untold sums of U.S. dollars to be secretly spent in institutions in China and elsewhere," she said, adding that she remains committed to ensuring "no other batty studies at the expense of taxpayers are flying under the radar" and there's "full public transparency for every penny sent to an institution in China."

The Wuhan lab has a history of <u>questionable</u> lab safety <u>practices</u> as it engaged in projects to enhance a SARS-like coronavirus that could make mice sicker. A 2017 video showed the WIV researchers keeping bats in a cage inside the lab and collecting bat samples outdoors with minimal protection measures.

The NIH suspended funding to EcoHealth's bat virus project after the pandemic broke out in China, and later terminated the Wuhan subgrant over grant rule compliance questions. It renewed the grant earlier this month with some modifications to the program's scope, a move that drew alarms from critics such as Ernst who wants to see permanent defunding of the New York organization.

With the renewed grant, EcoHealth now receives 17 active U.S. grants valued at

more than \$50 million collectively.

Justin Goodman of White Coat Waste Project, a watchdog group that has worked with Sen. Ernst on the issue, said he is "thrilled" to see the NIH's "long overdue decision" to disqualify "white coats in Wuhan from future taxpayer funding."

"Taxpayers shouldn't be forced to fund a foreign lab run by an adversarial nation that wasted money, tortured animals, and engineered superviruses in dangerous gain-of-function experiments that violated the law and likely caused COVID," he told The Epoch Times.

The NIH, and the Office of Laboratory
Animal Welfare, which oversees laboratory
animal experiments, didn't respond to The
Epoch Times' request for comment by press
time.

A number of former and current health and intelligence officials have voiced support for the theory that COVID-19 might have originated from a Wuhan lab.

"A lab leak is the only explanation credibly supported by our intelligence, by science, and by common sense," John Ratcliffe, the director of national intelligence during the Trump administration, recently told a congressional committee in Washington. "In fact, were this a trial, the preponderance of circumstantial evidence provided by our intelligence would compel a jury finding of guilt to an accusation that the coronavirus research in the Wuhan labs was responsible for spawning a global pandemic."

David Feith, an adjunct senior fellow at the Center for a New American Security and a former deputy assistant secretary of state, told the Select Subcommittee on the

Coronavirus Pandemic that "it became harder to ignore the suspicious basic facts of the story" as they gathered information regarding the pandemic origins.

"As we documented these issues to inform our diplomatic engagements with China and others, our attention was drawn increasingly back to the Wuhan labs," Feith said on April 18.

Sen. Marco Rubio (R-Fla.) on Wednesday also released a report (pdf) on the COVID-19 origins.

The 328-page report compiled a list of circumstantial evidence linking the origin of the pandemic to the Chinese lab.

During the second half of 2019, the report said, a "serious biocontainment failure or accident, likely involving a viral pathogen," likely took place there, which the most senior

Chinese leadership became aware of by at least November 2019.

The WIV, the report said, is "underfunded, underregulated, and understaffed," but under authorities' pressure to produce scientific breakthroughs to propel China to the forefront of the field.

"The WIV was almost an accident waiting to happen, and it appears that an accident, or perhaps accidents, did happen, and roughly concurrent with the initial outbreak of SARSCoV-2," it stated.