

[allnewspipeline.com](https://allnewspipeline.com)

# **As Death Rates Keep Rising They Can No Longer Cover Up The Skyrocketing Body Count**


16-20 minutes

---

**December 3, 2022**

**As Death Rates Keep Rising  
And They Can No Longer  
Cover Up The Vaxxed 'Dying**

# Suddenly,' An Excellent Essay On How Science Was Misused To Usher In Medical Tyranny



[By Joel S Hirschhorn of the Pandemic  
Blunder Newsletter](#) for **All News  
Pipeline**

It's [not too late to stop being a tool of  
oppression](#).

Death rates are still above normal in many countries of the world. The medical experts don't know why. It could be from the long-term complications from past Covid

infections, or it could be from the impacts of novel vaccines, or it could be from the delayed treatments due to lockdowns. Or perhaps it is from a mixture of these causes, or even from some other factor altogether.

Even writing those two sentences induced in me a feeling of trepidation. I find myself readying for the annoyance or even aggression from some people. Which is odd: people did not behave so stridently on public health issues before 2020. I think the decay in normal scientific dialogue and policy scrutiny is a significant lasting damage from the last few years.

It is why I am not going to let it lie.


Instead, I hope we can all learn more about why people became so badly informed and aggressive towards others who reached conclusions different to their own. Only then might we avoid making matters worse when future public health crises occur. And if the excess mortality does not return to normal, then we are already within an ongoing health crisis right now.

It is why in this essay I am returning to the scientific facts which prove the medical authoritarian orthodoxy on Covid has been scientifically wrong. Not just wrong in hindsight, but now more widely recognized as wrong by experts and scientists who ignored

some of the earlier concerns. This recent science can't be ignored unless someone is no longer interested in the science on public health.

*(ANP EMERGENCY FUNDRAISER: Due to heavy censorship by 'big tech' upon ANP articles, we're [running an EMERGENCY fundraising drive](#). We also want to thank everybody who has [donated to ANP](#) over the years. With donations and ad revenue all that keep ANP online, if you're able, please consider [donating to ANP to help keep us in this fight for America's future at this absolutely critical time in US history](#). During a time of systematic, 'big tech' censorship and widespread*

*institutional corruption, truth-seeking media and alternative views are crucial, and EVERY little bit helps more than you could know!)*



The first thing to recognize is the Covid vaccinations offered neither significant transmission reductions nor an opportunity to speed the reaching of 'herd immunity' and thus the eradication of the disease. Therefore, the various means of persuasion and coercion of people to achieve mass vaccination were scientifically baseless. The science on that now

seems irrefutable. On transmission, it was [confirmed in the European Parliament](#) that the manufacturers did not test for impact of vaccination on infection and/or transmission rates.

Therefore, any public statements and policies on reduced infection and transmission were not informed by science. The public statements on the role of mass vaccination in helping eradicate the disease via achieving 'herd immunity' were also unscientific. Even one of the most senior medical bureaucrats in the world, Dr Anthony Fauci, concluded in a paper in the [Journal of Infectious Diseases](#) that herd immunity is not the aim with Covid

vaccination, because: *“the virus that causes COVID-19, is so different from polio and measles that classical herd immunity may not readily apply to it. Important differences include the phenotypic stability of polio and measles viruses, and their ability to elicit longterm protective immunity, compared to SARS-CoV-2. For these and other reasons, controlling COVID-19 by increasing herd immunity may be an elusive goal.”* Due to the nature of coronaviruses, these limitations of Covid vaccinations were widely predicted by experts even in 2020, but they were ignored or vilified at the time.



The next key scientific issue to understand is that mass vaccination against Covid has likely caused a situation where those people who are more vulnerable to Covid are now less protected from their previous Covid vaccinations. That is because for some vaccinations and diseases, mass vaccinations can drive viral evolution so that the virus evades the existing vaccines. In the scientific literature this process is called “vaccination-induced evolutionary pressure” and leads to a phenomenon called “vaccine escape.” This has now been demonstrated to be occurring with Covid. Here is a quote from the paper in the [\*Journal ACS Infectious Diseases\*](#): “...prevailing

*variants can be quantitatively explained by infectivity-strengthening and vaccine-escape (co-)mutations on the spike protein RBD due to natural selection and/or vaccination-induced evolutionary pressure. We illustrate that infectivity strengthening mutations were the main mechanism for viral evolution, while vaccine-escape mutations become a dominating viral evolutionary mechanism among highly vaccinated populations.”*

A more worrying finding in a [paper in \*The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters\*](#) is that this process has now been identified as one of the main drivers of viral evolution: “vaccine-

*breakthrough or antibody-resistant mutations provide a new mechanism of viral evolution... By tracking the evolutionary trajectories of vaccine-resistant mutations in more than 2.2 million SARS-CoV-2 genomes, we reveal that the occurrence and frequency of vaccine-resistant mutations correlate strongly with the vaccination rates in Europe and America. We anticipate that as a complementary transmission pathway, vaccine-breakthrough or antibody-resistant mutations, like those in Omicron, will become a dominating mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 evolution when most of the world's population is either vaccinated or infected.”*

The unfortunate impact of this process is that the vaccines lose effectiveness and therefore do not protect the elderly and vulnerable who may choose to benefit from the protection they had offered. Unlike the public health messaging in countries during 2021, this scientific knowledge means that getting kids vaccinated for Covid might help to make Grandma more vulnerable, not less. I want to state here that the argument that variants caused by 'evolutionary pressure' from vaccination would be more virulent in general, rather than simply evade the vaccines, is not an argument I have ever made. I hope that theory doesn't turn out to be true in reality, as it would

mean humanity could enter a really bad situation. I should also note that there might be research papers that conclude differently to the ones I cited above. However, the existence of those papers is recent evidence of a phenomenon that is widely discussed in science and a cause for serious concern.

If you are thinking that this view is with the benefit of hindsight, then you would be wrong. Due to the nature of coronaviruses, the risk of counter-productiveness of mass vaccination for this particular virus was discussed by experts even in 2020, who were then ignored or vilified. The lessons from

that is something we'll come back to.

At least the mass vaccination helped reduce hospitalization and death, didn't they? Yes, it did for the elderly and vulnerable, to an extent of reducing the likelihood of death by about half in the first few months after vaccination.

Good. So, isn't that "well done pharmaceutical companies"?

Unfortunately, not quite. The latest data from Australia suggests that vaccination might have no effect on either hospitalizations and death, or even a negative effect on it, after some months have passed. If that data continues over time, it suggests that certain kinds of Covid vaccinations

may have been counterproductive in their impacts on human immune systems. That was a potential outcome known by expert vaccinologists, but suppressed by authorities, mass media and big tech firms during the global drive towards mass vaccination. I summarize the evidence for that within [my last essay on the Covid topic](#).

This questionable efficacy is before we even consider the potential outcomes from other methods that could have been prioritized if not for the obsessive focus on consuming pharmaceutical products. For instance, empowerment of workers so they could stay home at the first sign of any symptoms, without

losing pay. Or temperature screening at all places of work. Or making available (and testing) repurposed safe medicines, herbal medicines, and nutritional supplements. The kinds of [responses that myself](#) and so many marginalized voices have been promoting for the last couple of years.



The abuses towards people who did not conform to the orthodoxy about Covid vaccines were significant. That included people being barred from participation in society, losing their



jobs, being labelled Nazis by the elites and those who slavishly followed their agendas (even if claiming to be progressives). I often heard people blame the unvaccinated for someone getting sick or not getting treatment. That was misinformed hate speech. It was heart-breaking for me that such views were even platformed in one organization I helped to set up.

Most of the arguments that the vaccine 'hesitant' were selfish and dangerous were actually corporate-profiteering memes implanted in the brains of people who didn't think critically. The way this was done was through trusted media. Then some people repeated

those memes with an added moral disdain and even anger directed at friends, family, colleagues, neighbors or fellow humans on social media.

Others witnessed that and did nothing.

Still others took up backchannels to try and persuade people with critical views that they were wrong, rather than argue with the people who were being aggressive against vaccine hesitancy.

They were wrong on the science, wrong on the policies, and wrong in their attitudes towards others.

Am I describing people you know? Am I describing you?

If the latter, I realize you might be feeling a bit uncomfortable by now, and

thinking about not reading any further.  
Please know that there is a point to my essay that is much more than 'I told you so'. We will come to that. But first, I will summarize some hard truths. Because if you followed the orthodoxy, you were scientifically misinformed in a way that:

- *served profits and power*
- *probably undermined health outcomes specifically and generally*
- *harmed relationships of all kinds and other people's emotional wellbeing*
- *disrupted alliances and collaboration for meaningful social and political change*
- *has sown the seeds of global*

## *authoritarianism*

My own views on Covid were scientifically wrong until towards the end of 2020, when I began to realize the narratives kept changing and the scientific rationales sounded weak. It was at that point I began looking into what some of the top epidemiologists and vaccinologists were saying. But despite making the time to look into it, I was slow to be convinced that the orthodoxy was based on falsehoods. Luckily, I was well informed by the time the mass media tried to manipulate public attitudes towards the 'vaccine hesitant'. In any case, all of us can all explore where we got our information

from and why we reacted in the ways that we did:

- *First, let's realize how fear can be used to manipulate us into a lack of critical thinking and a desire for conformity.*
- *Second, let's realize how moral framings in mass media can be used to get us to dislike or even hate 'categories' of people.*
- *Third, let's realize that the corporate and state media are both constantly trying to shape our attitudes whether the journalists are aware of that or not.*
- *Fourth, let's realize that our desire to be smart, correct and ethical is actually the way that we can be most*

*manipulated by mass media and authorities.*

This matters today as we still have health challenges to work together on. It also matters as the authoritarians are still working with corporates to impose restrictions on humanity based on false medical rationales (as an exhibit, see the [communiqués coming out of the G20 summit](#)). If you went along with the orthodoxy, or didn't seek to intervene in any way, then some introspection will help you avoid being dangerous to others in future. Yes, I say dangerous, because authoritarianism requires people to support its policies by being scared into

becoming misinformed moral 'police' of their friends, family, neighbors and colleagues, or just scared into 'sitting on the fence'.

One way to start doing something about it is through meaningful apologies that can then begin a dialogue about how to engage better in future. Here are some suggestions:

*- If you were aggressive to someone about Covid, you have an opportunity to apologize for being both aggressive and scientifically wrong.*

*- If you pressured someone who was not vulnerable to be vaccinated, you have an opportunity to apologize for being scientifically wrong.*

*- If you argued with someone on the finer points of science but didn't listen to the science that already cast doubt on your view, you have an opportunity to apologize for being scientifically wrong.*

*- If you had influence in relation to the conversations in your organization or networks but did nothing about the hostility directed against people for their views on Covid, you have an opportunity to apologize for, in this instance, being rubbish in an emergency.*

*- If you have shifted your view on the relevant science and the appropriate policies, but feel annoyed at people like*



*me for bringing attention to this, you have an opportunity to see beyond your bruised ego for the sake of humanity and actually apologize for something to someone.*

In my own case, I have been vilified both in magazines and on social media for my scientifically informed views on Covid. Some of the denigration of me on social media for my stance on Covid, [has to be seen to be believed](#). I have let such comments go, partly by deciding not to read feedback. But when magazines publish critiques, one can expect higher standards and so ask their editors for better treatment of the issue. Recently, one Australian

[magazine implied I was a paranoid anti-vaxxer](#), as a basis for arguing that people don't need to take seriously my work on environmental issues. My letter of complaint, which the magazine editor responded to fully through their corrective actions, can be [read in full here](#). The editor's response gives me hope that if people are ready to learn and change, then we won't be rushed into a medical tyranny. I also have hope that we might restore the kind of critical thinking and dialogue that will help societies address the future impacts of Covid, which could be really bad through the long-haul form or repeat infections (and which aren't reduced significantly by current types

of vaccination – something I discuss within my [last essay](#) on the topic).

If you are interested in what we can learn from this period in our history, then I recommend the [essay on an amnesty over Covid by Charles Eisenstein](#). Like me, he experienced aggression online and in person due to his valid questioning of the orthodoxy. Fortunately, many of his existing readers agreed with his views. That was unlike my readers, nearly all of whom disliked me questioning the orthodoxy, or kept quiet about their support. That shook my assumptions about the substance beneath the rhetoric on kinder and wiser responses

to societal collapse that I had been hearing for the previous 2 years. Unfortunately, a focus on everyone being 'nice' to each other means that I might be further disliked for directly confronting behaviors I consider so poor.

But there is another side to this. It's also time to celebrate the people who retained their capacity for critical thought and solidarity. They will be key during the myriad disruptions and breakdowns that will occur in the months and years to come. They include people like my Mum, who was excluded from shops and social gatherings due to her resistance to

medical tyranny. In her 70s, she was also highly active on twitter with thousands of followers before was kicked off it for sharing contrarian information on Covid. If my Mum can think critically and stand up for what she knows to be right and true, so can many other people. It's never too late to stop being a tool of oppression.

***ANP EMERGENCY FUNDRAISER:  
With non-stop censorship and 'big  
tech' attacks upon independent  
media, donations from readers are  
absolutely critical in keeping All  
News Pipeline online. So if you like  
stories like this, please consider  
donating to ANP.***

***All donations are greatly appreciated and will absolutely be used to keep us in this fight for the future of America.***

***Thank you and God Bless. Susan and Stefan.***

***PLEASE HELP KEEP ANP ALIVE BY DONATING USING ONE OF THE FOLLOWING METHODS.***

**One time donations or monthly, via**

**[Paypal](#) or Credit Card:**



**Or <https://www.paypal.me>**

[/AllNewsPipeLine](#)

**OR** [Donate to ANP via Subscribestar](#)

## **Donate Via Snail Mail**

Checks or money orders ***made payable to Stefan Stanford or Susan***

***Duclos*** can be sent to:

P.O. Box 575

McHenry, MD. 21541

